STUBIUL PROTECTION
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0112495 DATE: 6/9/10 ARRIVE: 830 DEPART: 1000 FACILITY NAME: PALM TRUCK CENTER-FORT LAUDERDALE FACILITY LOCATION: 2441 S SR 7 FORT LAUDERDALE 33317-6910 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID WEIGER PHONE: (954)584-3200 CONTACT NAME: / ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes [No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes [\triangleleft	No

c)	considering th	he use of lov	w-VOC coatings (e.g.	, waterborne, ultra-violet cu	red, or powder coatings)?		
1		•					-

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?------
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

mp.	tementing management practices to reduce voe emissions during cleanap of.		
1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning		
	cycles?	Ves	No
	cycles:		110
2	recycling cleaning solvents?	Ves	No

Yes D No 2) recycling cleaning solvents? 3) using water based cleaners?-----Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>						
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment wit c) replacement of existing equipment substantia recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, di notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? 	hout replacement? Tyes No ally different than that noted on the most Yes No d the owner submit a new and complete 52-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or					
C.Pitters	6/9/10					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection 6/9/11					

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

Yes No

COMMENTS: The facility did not submit a new air permit notification to FDEP. No environmental air violations were observed during CY 2010 compliance inspection.